

**“Who Am I?”:
Conductor Identity Construction and Role-Identity Conflict
in DMA Conducting Graduate Teaching Assistants**

William Southerland
May 6, 2019
MUE711 Dr. Tami Draves

Conductors of ensembles at all levels provide music education to ensemble members.

Conductors of younger or less-experienced performers may give instruction on basic literacy and musicianship skills. More experienced ensembles benefit from refinement and enhancement of group musical sensitivity. In both cases, the conductor determines the instructional focus and plans effective activities for improving ensemble and individual capabilities.

Although conductors function as teachers in many aspects of their role with ensembles, conductor preparation programs in the United States today typically focus exclusively on performance related skills (Hanna-Weir, 2013; Hart, 2018; Southerland, 2019). Conducting curricula are designed to help students gain skills in non-verbal gesture and learn performance practice-related content knowledge. If curricula such as those reviewed by Hart (2018) are any example, little to no consideration is given to preparing novice conductors for the other social roles, such as *teacher*, that conductors inhabit in addition to *performer*.

Research into music teaching has suggested that teachers who develop a strong self-concept have an easier time transitioning into professional careers than those whose self-concept as a teacher is weak (Froehlich & L’Roy, 1985). As a result, university programs in recent years have attempted to improve first-year teacher experiences and long-term teacher retention through curricular content specifically designed to enhance teacher identity construction. Teachers with strong teacher identities may be more successful overall, may engage in more student-centered instructional methods, and may be better equipped to address challenges in the first years of in-

service teaching (Bouij, 1998; Dabback, 2018; Draves, 2018; Draves, 2014; Froehlich, 2007; Hargreaves & Marshall, 2003; Haston & Russell, 2012; Natale-Abramo, 2014; Prichard, 2017; Woodford, 2002; Young & Erickson, 2011)

Few universities offer undergraduate degrees specifically in conducting, so graduate conducting students may be constructing crystallized conductor identities for the first time. Similarly, for many graduate teaching assistants (GTAs), teaching assignments through the university are their first experiences as university instructors (Lankveld, Schoonenboom, Volman, Croiset, & Beishuizen, 2017; Winstone & Moore, 2017). Therefore, GTAs who conduct university ensembles are likely to be working in this role for the first time as well. Very little is known, however, about the experiences of first-year university conductors as they transition into new social roles and construct personal identities as university-trained conductors.

Problems and Purpose

The purpose of this study was to understand the social roles and processes of identity construction and in graduate students working as university ensemble conductors. By understanding the processes which contribute to conductor identity, university conducting instructors may be better able to prepare novice conductors for success in their first years as professionals. I initiated the study described in this paper to answer three primary questions:

1. What is the role-identity of a “conductor” as perceived by graduate students pursuing doctoral degrees in conducting (DMA)?
2. How does the process of identity construction for graduate conducting students compare with experiences of novice teachers?

3. What role-identity conflicts are experienced by graduate conducting students, and how are they affected by them?

Literature Review

The process of identity construction is complex, socially-constructed, and often turbulent. A person may shift between different perceived identities depending on social situation as they move through the different. Successfully producing a positive self-identity supports positive self-esteem, whereas a negative identity causes competition and distress between group members (Trepte & Loy, 2017). Furthermore, individuals who inhabit boundary roles—social roles with conflicting values and demands—may experience stress as a result of their experiences (Scheib, 2003). Music teachers, graduate teaching assistants, and teaching-artists like conductors share similar identity-related challenges with respect to the boundary roles which they inhabit.

In the following paragraphs, I identify literature which provided guidance in this study's design and analysis. First, I discuss phenomena of identity construction in novice music teachers and the intersections of identity between teaching and performing. Then, I consider two intersecting role-identity conflicts faced by participants in this study: performer/teacher conflicts experienced by music teachers; and teacher/student conflicts experienced by graduate teaching assistants (GTAs). Although no prior study was found investigating identity construction in graduate conducting students specifically, findings from these three disparate research areas together offer a productive basis from which to begin such an inquiry.

Role-Identity and Identity Construction

Because role-identity improves self-image and encourages shared norms (Hogg, van Knippenberg, & Rast, 2012), researchers in music education have sought to understand the

processes of identity construction for preservice music teachers. Preservice music teachers “who develop a strong professional self-concept...may experience a smoother transition from learner to professional than students...whose professional self-concepts remain weak” (Froehlich & L’Roy, 1985, pp. 65–66). Early studies in the United States found that music students have an idealized prototypical image of “musician,” a social identity of performer which is distinct from “teacher” (Nettl, 1995; Roberts, 1990). Undergraduate preservice music teachers may perceive these musician prototypes and identify themselves more as performers than music teachers because music education requires overlapping knowledge from disparate music-related studies (Woodford, 2002). Another possibility is that preservice teachers shift between performer and teacher identity depending on what role is required of them or which is the most rewarded (Bouij, 1998).

Beauchamp and Thomas (2009) recognized that a realization of teacher identity resulted in a sense of agency for music teachers, leading to empowerment for the individual to reach goals. This teacher identity is supported strongly by authentic contextual experiences—experiences of teaching (Haston & Russell, 2012). Haston and Russell identified five primary influences on teacher identity: (a) peers; (b) pedagogical knowledge; (c) knowledge of self; (d) symbiotic outcomes; and (e) professional perspectives. Draves (2014) also found that authentic teaching experiences had considerable impact on teacher identity, but similar to Bouij (1998) and Wagoner (2011), argued that teacher-performer identity is fluid and shifts based on context.

The participants in this study all worked as university graduate teaching assistants (GTAs), so participants in this study may experience identity differently than the participants in the previous studies in K-12 settings. Lankveld et al. (2017), however, theorized five characteristic experiences which enhanced teacher identity development for university

instructors: appreciation, connectedness, competence, commitment, and future career trajectory. University instructors appeared to find contact with students and staff development as supportive of their identity as a teacher, while teacher identity was undermined by university bureaucratic processes. These findings were similar to those based in K-12 settings, suggesting that the experiences of identity construction for graduate conductors may also be similar.

Role-Identity Conflict

GTAs like the participants in this study often have a variety of unrelated responsibilities and report to several different supervisors. Conducting GTAs specifically balance roles as primary instructors, teaching assistants, administrative employees, and ensemble directors. Individuals attempting to fulfill competing social roles may experience “role-identity conflict,” a stress resulting from contradictory responsibilities with incompatible demands (Burke, 1991). This conflict is intensified when there is discrepancy between internal self-evaluation and the desired identity and where there is disruption in the identity construction process. Within the university setting, music students (Bouij, 1998) and GTAs (Winstone & Moore, 2017) have expressed feelings of distress due to role-identity conflicts.

Performer / Teacher Identity Conflict. Conductors in K-12, university, and community-based settings function as music educators for their ensembles. Several researchers, however, have observed role-identity conflicts between conductor and teacher identities (Austin, Isbell, & Russell, 2012; Bouij, 1998, 2004; Froehlich & L’Roy, 1985; Martin, 2016; Natale-Abramo, 2014; Nettl, 1995). Throughout undergraduate music education, American music school cultures typically strongly reward performance skills causing music education majors to identify more strongly as performers than as teachers (Austin et al., 2012; Nettl, 1995). Bouij (2004) developed a two-axis role-identity model to describe the specific music-related identity

conflicts experienced by undergraduate music students in Sweden. One axis indicated a spectrum of values between general or specialized musical knowledge, while the other axis indicated the value range between personal musicianship and student-centered teacher identity.

As a result of traditional conductor prototypes, aspiring ensemble teachers may face role-identity conflict when rationalizing conductor-centered pedagogy with student-centered identities, delaying the development of important teaching-related skills (Natale-Abramo, 2014). Chorus and band music education students appear to consider conductors the prototypical professional model for occupational socialization, a perception compounded by the hierarchical socio-cultural structure of American schools of music (Froehlich & L’Roy, 1985; Nettl, 1995). Even outside of academia, the culture of choruses as a “distinct social environment” (Garnett, 2017, p. 130) maintains these hierarchical positions, reinforcing the social position of the conductor prototype.

Teacher / Student Identity Conflict. Researchers have paid considerable attention to the stress experienced by GTAs related to role-identity conflict. Teaching assistants may suffer anxiety due to ambiguity in their conflicting roles of student and teacher (Park & Ramos, 2002). Adler and Adler (2005) recognized a four-fold role-identity conflict in graduate teaching assistants: (a) professor/instructor; (b) researcher; (c) student; and (d) colleague. Graduate students in that study reported stress due to insufficient time to address competing identities, feelings of exploitation from the workload, and an adversarial relationship with the academic department from low salaries and poorly communicated job expectations.

Doctoral students, like the participants in the present study, assume many different roles and are expected to oscillate between them rapidly (Jazvac-Martek, 2009; Keefer, 2015). Jazvac-Martek asserted that lack of agency was the primary source of stress for these individuals, while

Keefer focused on isolation and a lack of confidence resulting from imposter syndrome. Researchers observed that GTAs in science departments experience substantial insecurity as a knowledgeable person (Winstone & Moore, 2017). Academic identity construction in GTAs appeared supported by authentic teaching experiences with students and perceived agency as the instructor, but this identity was threatened by lack of departmental acknowledgement in their teacher role. They characterized GTAs as existing in a “half-way house” (p. 497), being neither fully a student or a teacher but choosing the most effective identity for a given circumstance. Similarly, Lankveld et al. (2017) argued that professionals entering university as lecturers experienced doubt and inadequacy as they recognized that their professional expertise was insufficient for their new role.

Graduate teaching assistants in choral conducting, such as those in this study, have experiences which share characteristics with participants in each of the areas of research I have summarized. As conductors and novice university instructors, conducting GTAs share similarities with music teachers. Likewise, as university GTAs working within their department, their experiences of stress and its causes may be similar to GTAs in other departments. Intersecting the conversations between music teacher experience and GTA experiences provides a solid basis on which to consider the experiences of conducting graduate students and a strong foundation for the design of the current study.

Researcher Reflexivity

I have wanted to be a conductor for as long as I can remember. In middle school, I travelled the world singing with a prestigious boychoir. In high school, I conducted a small after-school choir good enough that the school established a formal chorus program. As an

undergraduate and master's student, I privileged my performer identity, working gigs as a part-time chorus director, and eschewed teaching. After graduating, however, I quickly discovered that K-12 teaching provided secure employment where working as a gigging conductor did not. I happily spent most of the next decade enjoying a successful career as a middle school chorus teacher.

Through my coursework, literature reading, and personal reflection as a doctoral student, I discovered that I had developed a strong teacher identity. In all of my ensembles, I implement lessons that hopefully allow my singers to learn about and enjoy music broadly rather than exclusively focusing on performance quality. I hope that my ensemble members become better musicians and better human beings no matter what happens in our concerts.

I still have difficulty sometimes rationalizing these two identities. I am a conductor, trained and motivated to refine my ensembles to their best possible quality. I am also a teacher, seeking to provide experiences which improve the lives of my ensemble members. When I chose to return to graduate school, I made the difficult decision to focus my work as a PhD music education student instead of a DMA conducting student. Thus, I was inspired to do this research because I wanted to learn about the experiences of those who had made the “other choice”—to pursue performance instead of education—and how this distinction manifests in their self-concept.

Design

The purpose of this study was to understand the process of identity construction in novice conductors studying at university. To investigate this phenomenon, I employed an instrumental case study design to examine the experience of conductor identity construction in doctoral

conducting students (Creswell, 2013; Stake, 2006). Case studies are useful for examining the specific intricacies of a bounded event, calling attention to the particularity and complexity of a phenomenon (Conway & Barrett, 2014). Furthermore, case studies are particularly useful in circumstances of teaching and learning because of their contextual nature.

These cases were bounded by time and place: the study was conducted at a medium-sized university in the United States in a single spring semester. The study was also bounded by its focus on doctoral conducting students working as graduate teaching assistants and their experiences of identity construction.

Study participants constituted the entire population of doctoral conducting teaching assistants at this university, therefore, no further method of sampling was used. The sole criterion for selection was that the individual be pursuing a DMA in instrumental or choral conducting and working as a graduate teaching assistant in their department. There were four total participants, three male and one female. Two of the participants studied instrumental conducting, two studied choral conducting.

Researcher Role. During this research, I was a doctoral student in music education and conductor of a local community chorus. The underlying intent in this research was to learn how education for graduate conductors can be more valuable, effective, and beneficial not just for their career but also for their personal experiences during the process. As such, I approached this research project from a transformative model (Creswell & Poth, 2018) including in the interviews questions about how to improve their experiences both as students and conductors.

Participants needed to feel comfortable sharing challenging perspectives of their experiences which might jeopardize relationships with professors, administrators, and even other students. For this reason, I feel that my identity as a graduate student was helpful in developing a

rapport because the participants considered me a trustworthy insider. Furthermore, throughout the process, I reassured participants that I would prioritize their need for confidentiality to mitigate their concerns over the impacts of this research on their future employability.

Data Collection. Case study requires multiple forms of data to provide a thorough description of the phenomenon and achieve high transferability of results (Creswell & Poth, 2018; Merriam & Tisdell, 2016). These multiple forms of data increase trustworthiness of results by allowing robust data triangulation during analysis (Stake, 2005). Data collected during this study included interviews, researcher memos, email text, participant journals, and artifacts. Interviews were first transcribed electronically using the Temi automated transcription service then cleaned manually. The collected data summed to approximately 150 pages of single-spaced text.

I conducted interviews with the participants using Seidman's (2013) phenomenological three-interview series approach (see Appendix A). In-depth conversations through three separate interviews allows the interviewer and participant to place the experience of a phenomenon in context and reflect on its meaning. The first interview focused on the participant's life history as it pertained to their music experiences. The second interview focused on their daily experiences as a student and GTA in conducting. The questions for the second interview utilized the framework from Lankveld et al. (2017) to determine which characteristics of their daily lives contributed to or detracted from their construction of personal identity. The third interview involved reflecting on the meaning the participant has for their experience and how it might influence their thinking about the future. Each interview lasted an average of 70 minutes.

Participants completed journal entries to further assist in an exploration of meaning (see Appendix B). As the researcher, I wrote personal reflections on the experience of our interviews.

Lastly, participants were asked to provide artifacts relevant to their experiences. The actual content of these data varied based on the participant. Some provided all of the aforementioned types of data, others provided only some. Because graduate teaching assistants experience considerable stress from their disparate responsibilities (Adler & Adler, 2005), I allowed participants to engage with the research on their own terms (see Seidman, 2013, p. 98-99).

Data Analysis. I analyzed data with the aid of Dedoose qualitative analysis software. Data were coded inductively, looking for patterns that were natural and deliberate (Saldaña, 2016). While reading through the text of each interview, I highlighted excerpts that related to the research questions and assigned each a short word or phrase to identify its relevance. Examples of codes included *role-identity conflict*, *student-centered approach*, *coping strategies*, or *student as product*. I then sorted these codes into within-case themes for individual participants and cross-case themes focusing on doctoral conductor identity construction.

I used several strategies to ensure trustworthiness such as data triangulation, thick, rich description, member checking, and peer review (Creswell and Poth, 2018). I compared the code themes between cases and between data types, looking for similarities and differences. I also recruited the help of a colleague to review limited sections of coded, anonymized data and corroborate or refute code use. Finally, acknowledging my role in the research process through researcher reflexivity further allows the reader to understand my conclusions and how my own positionality influenced my research process (Merriam & Tisdell, 2016).

Limitations. This study was conducted over a single semester at a single university. Each participant studied with one of two professors who also functioned as their supervisor for teaching assistantships. Results of this study, therefore, cannot be generalized and great caution must be taken when considering transfer when considering other individuals in other institutions.

In addition, in an attempt to protect their future employability in a limited job market, I have decided not to provide any potentially identifying information about the participants, including even generalities about their institution or geographic location. I understand the negative impact this choice will have on transferability, but I wish to respect the concerns of my participants to protect their professional reputations.

Findings

Data analysis revealed that participants perceived “conductor” as a multifaceted role that changes based on the needs of the ensemble. Instead of a single prototypical figure, participants described conductors as a threefold role-identity: performer, teacher, and leader. This conception of multiple conductor roles was shared by both instrumental and choral conducting students. Furthermore, like preservice teachers (Draves, 2014) and novice university instructors (Lankveld et al., 2017), conducting graduate students perceived direct interaction with students as highly supportive of identity as a whole. Graduate conductors perceived other experiences, however, as undermining their identity construction, especially experiences related to their status as students and GTAs.

In the following sections, I describe two major themes which emerged from my analysis of the data. First, participants described a multifaceted conductor role-identity prototype which synthesizes three role-identities: leader, teacher, and performer. Participants did not see these priorities as in competition, but rather as a complimentary mixture of skills and personality traits. Second, participants identified several role-identity conflicts which caused stress in their daily lives and undermined the development of professional identities as conductors. When considered

together, these two themes set in stark relief a picture of graduate conductors balancing conflicting experiences as respected musicians but inexperienced students.

The Conductor Prototype

Conductor as Leader. Participants believed that a “conductor” is a multifaceted role encompassing a range of skills and knowledge whose function changes based on the context of the ensemble with whom they work. The most important role of conductor for all participants was the role of Leader. Leadership appeared to be an abstract concept which was not fully articulable. One participant referred to conductors as having “ineffable leadership qualities” which could only be learned through experience.

Previous leadership experiences, especially experiences during their K-12 education, appeared to function as early authentic contextual experiences for all participants similar to findings among novice music teachers (Draves, 2014, 2018; Froehlich & L’Roy, 1985; Haston & Russell, 2012). All participants reported having important responsibilities in their ensembles while students in high school like drum major or section leader. Two functioned as student coordinators with important ensemble duties: “I remember being in the library at the school giving PowerPoint presentations to section leaders as a junior in high school...we administered auditions of all sorts, we ran rehearsals on the field. That's where the leadership came from.”

Participants described a change in their perceptions of leadership as a component of a maturing conductor role-identity. At first, participants viewed the conductor as the individual who was “in charge,” the one who “makes the music happen.” Their experiences as DMA students, however, had shifted this perception. Participants conceived of leadership on the part of conductor primarily in two ways: (a) facilitating experiences and providing resources for ensemble members; and (b) charismatically motivating students to excellent performance. One

participant especially emphasized the conductor as working “behind the scenes” to make sure the ensemble is successful: “I’m most interested in just being an inspirer. If that means I’m a leader, okay, but if that means that’s behind the scenes, that’s okay too. I guess it’s still leadership. Inspiring someone is leading them.”

Participants believed that the conductor as ensemble leader has the ultimate responsibility for the quality of the music-making in performance. Therefore, authentic experiencing practicing conductor leadership requires agency over ensemble-related decisions. In addition to student-contact through ensemble rehearsals, participants spent many hours selecting repertoire, studying and notating scores, planning rehearsals, and doing organizational administrative tasks which they felt were crucial to the ensemble’s success. Participants felt frustration when professors appeared to take credit for their work:

We answer to our professors, yet they're nowhere to be found in rehearsal. And that falls on our shoulders. How good the concert goes, what other faculty that attend the concert [who] shake my professor’s hand say to him is a reflection of our rehearsal and how we conduct and how we teach and how efficient we are in the literature we select. But it's not actually all that freedom in our hands...it's giving authority but not actually any power.

Conductor as Performer. Participants described the importance of their performer identity by prioritizing the quality of performance by their ensembles and their personal performance as ensemble director. Participants also emphasized the importance of ensemble performance quality on their self-concept: “I’m the conductor at the concerts. It’s me that’s up there. It’s my students up there. It’s not my professor. So, if it falls apart on the stage, it is my responsibility, you know.” Graduate conducting students appear to have exceptionally high expectations of themselves and their performances which manifest as either high self-esteem or acute self-doubt depending on the contexts. Supporting the theories outlined by Hogg, et al. (2012), participants’ conductor role-identity and self-esteem were improved through high-quality

ensemble performances and undermined when they perceived that their personal performance was insufficient.

Rather than sounds, a conductor's musical performance is through their conducting gesture. Participants did not mention the importance of gesture directly, but importance of performer identity to participants still emerged as an emphasis on performance self-critique. An instrumental conducting student described personal frustration when regularly reviewing rehearsal videos: "I'm watching myself struggle with the same fundamental issue in my conducting for, you know, six months. It's like watching grass grow. It's like, when am I ever going to learn how to do this?"

Both instrumental conducting participants expressed remarkably high levels of self-doubt regarding their abilities to be successful as ensemble directors: "I used to think that I was a good conductor, that I knew what I was doing. Now I know I don't." The other instrumental participant echoed the same sentiment, asserting that obtaining multiple degrees in conducting "just made myself smaller...I have just learned that I don't know as much as I think I do...it's been a painful experience." These statements were most often related to role-identity conflicts which the participants encountered, which will be discussed in the next section.

Conductor as Teacher. All participants believed that conductors are teachers and felt strongly that successful conducting requires teaching. Even so, participants delineated between performance-related skills such as conducting gesture, which represented a performer identity, and teaching-related skills such as instructional delivery, which reflected a teacher identity: "I think the two complement each other... I think they're intertwined. I consider myself a teacher...I [teach] through conducting. But there needs to be an aspect of conducting for me. I can't let that go."

Participants perceived themselves as teaching when they are providing direct instruction to students for whom they have direct responsibility and experienced this role-identity most acutely when working with less experienced ensembles. One participant remarked that these circumstances were rewarding because they felt like they were making more of an impact in developing ensemble member's musicianship:

I was amazed at how much I enjoy being in front of the middle school choirs, even a little bit more than the high school choirs. And in some ways I think that was because the middle schoolers...need to learn the basics, need to know how to stand and where to breathe and how to, um, support, for lack of a better word. Doing that with them was strangely fun.

Perhaps unsurprisingly, instrumental and choral participants differed as to what skills were most important to be a successful conductor-teacher. Instrumental conductors emphasized non-verbal gesture as the most critical skill for success of a conductor-teacher, conveying musical ideas without verbal instructions. Choral conductors believed a highly refined singing voice was the most important teaching skill through modeling desired performance characteristics: "I have found that in my own conducting, if I don't feel like I'm singing well, I don't feel like I'm conducting well." Clear verbal instructions during rehearsal were important to both groups. Neither choral music student discussed gesture as a component of teaching as a conductor, perhaps suggesting they considered gesture a component more aligned with performance than instruction.

Role-Identity Conflict

Participants expressed varying levels of stress during their interviews which stemmed from perceived role-identity conflicts related to their identities as students and instructors in their roles as GTAs. Shifting power dynamics between participants, their professors, and their fellow students complicated their social integration and frustrated their attempts at coherent self-

concept. Indeed, when asked “where do you fit into the social structure of the university?” one participant remarked, “I don’t think we do.” Participants experienced role-identity related stress which stemmed from a perceived inability to express necessary identities and difficulty balancing expected responsibilities with personal care.

Instructor/Student Identity Conflict. Winstone and Moore (2017) suggested that GTAs experience stress related to their conflicting social identities as instructors and students.

Participants in this study described that their identities were constantly shifting between faculty and peer—sometimes conducting GTAs performed with other students, sometimes they were in charge of other students. Both instrumental conductors felt that undergraduate ensemble members undervalued their teaching experience and assumed they were novices despite many years of prior conducting: “I think that being a graduate student has an inherent ‘you don’t know what you’re doing.’” Sometimes, I want to look at these students and tell them, ‘No, you don’t understand. You don’t know the experiences I’ve had before this.’” GTAs also felt like undergraduate student workers whom they supervised did not perceive them as an authority figure and that they did not receive adequate support from professors when dealing with these student workers. Participants also expressed frustration that they were not regularly included in communication related to classroom instruction either from faculty or administrators.

Supervisor/Peer Identity Conflicts. Participants felt stress when negotiating relationships with professors, oscillating between roles as junior faculty colleagues and student subordinates. Participants felt their conductor identity was especially undermined when professor gave them feedback in front of other students, as this was perceived as undermining their authority of the ensemble. One participant described how all decision making, such as repertoire

selection, was reviewed by the professor requiring additional time. Both instrumental participants expressed a frustration at the uniquely public aspect of their training:

DMA performance people like an oboist or a trombonist or whatever, they practice way more than I do. They spend hours a day in a practice room or in some part of their home practicing that instrument and it's very secluded. I prepare a lot, but all of my life getting better happens in front of people. So, it's almost always a performance if you let it be. But I even get critiqued in front of my students, [like] when you are teaching a private flute lesson and your teacher comes in and in front of your student tells you that you're messing up.

Participants stated that this close monitoring and public feedback stifled their creativity in rehearsal and made them feel less authoritative with their ensembles.

Despite this internal role-identity conflict, individualized attention from professors was perceived by participants as their single most rewarding school experience:

My lesson that I had on Monday was one of the best lessons that I've had in three years because he was patient with me. It wasn't belittling. He sat on my level...communicating with me on my level in a very patient sort of way. That he did that in my lesson and that was really rewarding for me. I told anyone who had ears what an amazing lesson I had.

Participant values also reflected the values of their professors. Although participants did not always agree with their professors policies and procedures, they nonetheless appeared strongly loyal to their philosophical perspectives: "We were talking to a faculty candidate yesterday... [who] said something to the effect of, 'it's realizing that you suck and letting it go.' And I thought 'my professor would never ever abide by that philosophy.'"

Participants also appeared more able to empathize with the professional challenges professors face: "I could see myself doing everything and not letting my graduate students do anything the same way. Running myself into the ground, like [my professor] who sleeps four hours a day because he's taking the heat, which isn't what I said five minutes ago." This participant was conflicted between their personal frustrations and an understanding that the

professor also experienced considerable work-related stress. “I think, am I just being a big whiney baby and *everybody's* on this stress panic wheel, always in fear of failure or losing their job?” This participant noticed themselves repeating the close monitoring which they resented from their professor with their own undergraduate student workers due to the pressure they felt for the work to be done correctly.

Work/Life Balance. Participants in this study, particularly the instrumental conducting participants, described extensive GTA work-related responsibilities which they felt negatively impacted both their work as graduate students and their lives beyond school. Students felt like they couldn't tell their supervising professors “no,” and prioritized work for their assistantship above their own school work. Conducting GTA's personal schedules started at or before 7am and many lasted until 10 or 11pm many days. Instrumental conductors described especially busy days without eating properly or using the bathroom because of the perceived expectation that they attend every instrumental ensemble rehearsal, even when they were not responsible to conduct. One participant characterized leaving campus as “an act of self-care.” Despite this commitment, participants felt resentful at what they perceived as a lack of recognition. As one participant remarked, “we are the little pawns that are keeping the wheel going while he wears a suit and does what he does.”

Discussion

I undertook this study to investigate the experience of identity construction among conducting graduate students. This discussion will first focus on the three primary research questions. First, what is the conductor prototype which conducting graduate students use as a role-identity model? Second, how does identity construction in conducting graduate students

compare to novice teachers? Third, what role identity conflicts to these student experience and how do they impact their identity construction? I conclude this section with recommendations for improved practice in graduate conductor training.

RQ#1: The Conductor Prototype. Descriptions of conductor role-identity showed substantial similarity between participants. Unlike findings by Nettle (1995) and Roberts (1990) in which music students focused almost exclusively on performer identities, findings from this study revealed a tripartite prototype for the conductor-musician that included Leader, Teacher, and Performer. Participants believed that all three role-identities were important for a conductor to be successful in improving the quality of an ensemble's music making.

This study's findings, however, do not fully contradict Woodford (2002) who found that preservice music teachers identify more as performers than teachers. The participants described in this study enjoyed being performers and placed great importance on performing skills, but they also recognized the importance of non-performance skills. Being graduate students, and therefore both older and more experienced than Woodford's participants, graduate conductors may conceive a more sophisticated and nuanced role-identity prototype than undergraduate students with little authentic contextual experience.

Participants in this study described undergoing shifts in their understanding of the conductor prototype. Ensemble members who have not been conductors may not perceive musicianship instruction during rehearsal as formal teaching, nor might they be aware of the administrative responsibilities that conductors have outside of the rehearsal setting. As a result, non-conductors may construct conductor prototypes which focus exclusively on performance aspects of the conductor role-identity. As a person transitions from ensemble member to

ensemble leader, their conductor role-identity shifts to encompass a wider range of behaviors which better match what is required of them (Bouij, 1998).

Based on my findings, I propose a tripartite model of conductor role-identity (Fig. 1). For participants in this study, the prototypical conductor model has skills in three domains: Leader, Teacher, and Performer. These identities are not in competition or exclusionary but complement one another. Like successful teachers, successful conductors customize their instruction based on the needs of their ensemble by enhancing or minimizing aspects of their instructional delivery.




Figure 1. A Tripartite Model of Conductor Role-Identity

RQ#2: Comparing Student Conductors and Novice Teachers. Research on pre-service teachers in music education programs suggested that students have difficulty synthesizing performing and teaching identities (Natale-Abramo, 2014) believing that “musicians” are distinct from “teachers” (Nettl, 1995; Roberts, 1990). Conducting students in this study, however, appeared to have successfully integrated both teaching and performing

identities as components of their conductor role-identity prototype, and they did not consider performing and teaching to be conflicting priorities. Instead, participants felt that successful conductors synthesize behaviors from each of these identities as facets of a more complex identity. One participant described it as “turning up or down” the style of interaction based on the needs of the ensemble.

Froehlich and L’Roy (1985) argued that a strong professional self-concept may help those starting careers as music educators and advocated for enhancing self-concept to improve teacher effectiveness. Conducting students in this study did not appear to have a strong professional-self concept related to role-identity conflicts which I will discuss in the next section. Unlike music education students who struggle to unite performer and teacher identities, the self-concept of conducting students appears most undermined by a perceived lack of professional agency. Therefore, early career conductor effectiveness may be improved by supporting student conductors in developing a professional self-concept.

Participants in this study recognized and advocated for student-centered teaching approaches which parallel those taught in music education preparation. This student-centered perspective, however, appeared to have developed as a result of teaching experiences prior to their graduate work. While participants did find student interactions at the university authentic contextual experiences (Haston & Russell, 2012), the positive effects of these interactions were undermined by role-identity conflicts.

Conductors working professionally in university and community-based settings bear considerable responsibility musically and organizationally. When training novice conductors, universities should provide authentic teaching experiences which promote the development of

student-centeredness. To be effective, teaching experiences must allow novice conductors a high level of autonomy (agency) with the real possibility of failure.

Scheduling may pose a serious barrier to conducting students getting sufficient authentic “podium time,” and professors who oversee large ensemble often feel pressure from administrators to produce only superior-quality performances. Universities could offer more smaller ensembles, rather than a few large ensembles, where graduate conductors could experiment, prioritizing process over product. This could lessen the stress that conducting professors feel over performance-as-product and allow graduate students greater agency over the artistic decision making throughout the process.

RQ#3: Role-Identity Conflicts. Participants experienced several role-identity conflicts in their work at the university, and these conflicts appeared to be a source of stress all of them to varying degrees. Student/teacher role-identity conflict appeared to be the most impactful and a primary source of stress. This supports the finding by Beauchamp and Thomas (2009) that music teacher identity results from a sense of agency. As students, graduate conductors lacked a sense of real agency or sufficient time to devote to their responsibilities (Adler & Adler, 2005), undermining their identity construction as conductors. As a result of this student/teacher identity conflict, participants reported varying levels of stress and anxiety related to job performance in both areas. This supports the findings by Jazvac-Martek (2009) and Keefer (2015) who described rapidly changing identity roles and imposter syndrome as sources of stress for doctoral students.

Participant experiences corresponded most directly with the model developed by Winstone and Moore (2017), which characterized identity construction in graduate teaching assistants in terms of malleability where GTAs must regularly switch between different, often conflicting, role-identities. GTAs imagine themselves one day being instructors, professors, and

conductors, but currently feel confined by limited personal agency due to role-identity conflicts. Their experiences working with students are overshadowed by public feedback from professors, awkward peer relationships, and inadequate communication regarding university procedures.

Graduate students like these participants practice and refine academic identities. As a result, direct interactions with professors have profound impacts on graduate students' psyches. Participants described feeling rewarded from genuine, personal interactions with professors, but also described feeling high levels of anxiety regarding feedback procedures from supervising professors. Professors overseeing doctoral students should dedicate time to fostering positive, peer-like interactions. In addition, graduate students should be granted maximum autonomy to function without supervision, and professors should avoid giving feedback in front of students over whom graduate conductors have leadership roles.

Graduate students who work as GTAs have additional identities as university employee who deserve to be managed respectfully. Graduate student themselves are highly-driven individuals who sometimes struggle maintaining healthy work-life boundaries, as participants in this study demonstrated. Professors supervising GTAs should clearly define what is expected of them, provide them with necessary resources and materials in advance, and include them in communications about university policies and procedures. Furthermore, university administrators should monitor these responsibilities to ensure GTAs have sufficient time to address their own studies and personal needs.

Conclusion

Individuals pursuing doctoral degrees in conducting, who also work as GTAs, have considerable social and personal responsibility. They are excited about the possibility of one day

being conductors and conducting professors, but they do not feel like they can fully inhabit that role due to several intersecting role-identity conflicts. Their identities as students greatly overshadow their emerging identities as scholars and university instructors. By better understanding the processes of identity construction and the impact of role-identity conflict, universities generally and professors who supervise doctoral conducting teaching assistants specifically can promote school environments which support the development of desired identities and mitigate unnecessary stress.

Prepublication
Draft 8-10-19
(c) William Southerland

References

- Adler, P. A., & Adler, P. (2005). The Identity Career of the Graduate Student: Professional Socialization to Academic Sociology. *The American Sociologist*, 36(2), 11–27. Retrieved from JSTOR.
- Austin, J. R., Isbell, D. S., & Russell, J. A. (2012). A multi-institution exploration of secondary socialization and occupational identity among undergraduate music majors. *Psychology of Music*, 40(1), 66–83. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0305735610381886>
- Beauchamp, C., & Thomas, L. (2009). Understanding teacher identity: An overview of issues in the literature and implications for teacher education. *Cambridge Journal of Education*, 39(2), 175–189. <https://doi.org/10.1080/03057640902902252>
- Bouij, C. (1998). Swedish Music Teachers in Training and Professional Life. *International Journal of Music Education*, 32(1), 24–32. <https://doi.org/10.1177/025576149803200103>
- Bouij, C. (2004). Two theoretical perspectives on the socialization of music teachers. *Action, Criticism, and Theory for Music Education*, 3(3), 14.
- Burke, P. J. (1991). Identity Processes and Social Stress. *American Sociological Review*, 56(6), 836–849. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2096259>
- Conway, C. M., & Barrett, J. R. (2014). Case Study in Music Education. In C. M. Conway (Ed.), *The Oxford Handbook of Qualitative Research in American Music Education*. <https://doi.org/10.1093/oxfordhb/9780199844272.013.007>
- Dabback, W. (2018). A longitudinal perspective of early career music teachers: Contexts, interactions, and possible selves. *Journal of Music Teacher Education*, 27(2), 52–66. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1057083717727268>

- Draves, T. J. (2014). Under construction: Undergraduates' perceptions of their music teacher role-identities. *Research Studies in Music Education, 36*(2), 199–214.
<https://doi.org/10.1177/1321103X14547982>
- Draves, T. J. (2018). Molly and Rachel Become Band Teachers: Teacher Identity Construction During Music Student Teaching. *Contributions to Music Education, 43*, 95–115.
- Froehlich, H. (2007). *Sociology for music teachers: Perspectives for practice*. New York: Routledge.
- Froehlich, H., & L'Roy, D. (1985). An Investigation of Occupancy Identity in Undergraduate Music Education Majors. *Bulletin of the Council for Research in Music Education, 85*(85), 65–75.
- Garnett, L. (2017). Choral pedagogy and the construction of identity. In F. Abrahams & P. D. Head (Eds.), *The Oxford Handbook of Choral Pedagogy* (p. 20). New York: Oxford University Press.
- Hanna-Weir, S. (2013). *Developing a personal pedagogy of conducting* (DMA Thesis, University of Maryland, College Park). Retrieved from
<https://search.proquest.com/docview/1432743382/abstract/E4450EFA8A3B47EBPQ/1>
- Hargreaves, D. J., & Marshall, N. A. (2003). Developing identities in music education. *Music Education Research, 5*(3), 263–273. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1461380032000126355>
- Hart, J. T. (2018). The Status of Music Education Conducting Curricula, Practices, and Values. *Journal of Music Teacher Education, 1*–15. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1057083718783464>
- Haston, W., & Russell, J. A. (2012). Turning Into Teachers: Influences of Authentic Context Learning Experiences on Occupational Identity Development of Preservice Music

- Teachers. *Journal of Research in Music Education*, 59(4), 369–392.
<https://doi.org/10.1177/0022429411414716>
- Hogg, M. A., van Knippenberg, D., & Rast, D. E. (2012). The social identity theory of leadership: Theoretical origins, research findings, and conceptual developments. *European Review of Social Psychology*, 23(1), 258–304.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/10463283.2012.741134>
- Jazvac-Martek, M. (2009). Oscillating role identities: The academic experiences of education doctoral students. *Innovations in Education and Teaching International*, 46(3), 253–264.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/14703290903068862>
- Keefer, J. M. (2015). Experiencing doctoral liminality as a conceptual threshold and how supervisors can use it. *Innovations in Education and Teaching International*, 52(1), 17–28. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14703297.2014.981839>
- Lankveld, T. van, Schoonenboom, J., Volman, M., Croiset, G., & Beishuizen, J. (2017). Developing a teacher identity in the university context: A systematic review of the literature. *Higher Education Research & Development*, 36(2), 325–342.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/07294360.2016.1208154>
- Martin, L. D. (2016). Doctoral Students in Music Education: Occupational Identity, Career Intent and Commitment, and Confidence for Teaching in Higher Education. *Journal of Music Teacher Education*, 26(1), 13–27. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1057083715602123>
- Natale-Abramo, M. (2014). The Construction of Instrumental Music Teacher Identity. *Bulletin of the Council for Research in Music Education*, (202), 51–69.
<https://doi.org/10.5406/bulcouresmusedu.202.0051>

- Nettl, B. (1995). Society of musicians. In *Heartland excursions: Ethnomusicological reflections on schools of music* (pp. 43–81). Chicago: University of Illinois Press.
- Park, C., & Ramos, M. (2002). The Donkey in the Department? Insights into the Graduate Teaching Assistant (GTA) experience in the UK. *Journal of Graduate Education*, 3(2), 47–53.
- Prichard, S. (2017). A Mixed-Methods Investigation of Preservice Music Teaching Efficacy Beliefs and Commitment to Music Teaching. *Journal of Research in Music Education*, 65(2), 237–257. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0022429417710387>
- Roberts, B. (1990). *Teacher education as identity construction: Music, a case study*. Retrieved from <https://eric.ed.gov/?id=ED328531>
- Scheib, J. W. (2003). Role stress in the professional life of the school music teacher: A collective case study. *Journal of Research in Music Education*, 51(2), 124–136. <https://doi.org/10.2307/3345846>
- Southerland, W. (2019). Giving music a hand: Conducting history in practice and pedagogy. *Choral Journal*, 59(8), 30–42.
- Trepte, S., & Loy, L. S. (2017). Social Identity Theory and Self-Categorization Theory. In P. Rössler, C. A. Hoffner, & L. van Zoonen (Eds.), *The International Encyclopedia of Media Effects* (pp. 1–13). <https://doi.org/10.1002/9781118783764.wbieme0088>
- Wagoner, C. L. (2011). *Defining and measuring music teacher identity: A study of self-efficacy and commitment among music teachers* (Ph.D. Dissertation, The University of North Carolina at Greensboro). Retrieved from <http://libres.uncg.edu/ir/listing.aspx?id=7537>

- Winstone, N., & Moore, D. (2017). Sometimes fish, sometimes fowl? Liminality, identity work and identity malleability in graduate teaching assistants. *Innovations in Education and Teaching International*, 54(5), 494–502. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14703297.2016.1194769>
- Woodford, P. G. (2002). The social construction of music teacher identity in undergraduate music education majors. In R. Colwell & C. Richardson (Eds.), *The new handbook of research on music teaching and learning* (pp. 675–694). New York: Oxford University Press.
- Young, J. R., & Erickson, L. B. (2011). Imagining, Becoming, and Being a Teacher: How professional history mediates teacher educator identity. *Studying Teacher Education*, 7(2), 121–129. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17425964.2011.591133>

Prepublication
Draft 8-10-19
(c) William Southerland